REMARKS

The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Claims 1-22, 30, 38, 41, 42 were previously cancelled.

This amendment adds, changes and/or deletes claims in this application. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

After amending the claims as set forth above, claims 23-29, 31-37, 39 and 40 (16 claims) are now pending in this application.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. § 112

On page 2 of the office action, the Examiner has rejected claims 23 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. The Examiner states that "the claim language "accessible virtually all of the time" is indefinite. Nowhere within the specification or claim language defines the boundary of "accessibly virtually all of the time" ".

In response, the Applicant directs the Examiner's attention to the specification page 4, lines 6-18 and page 11, lines 3-24 and specifically lines 15-23. In each of the cited passages, the specification states that "non-accessibility of the relevant subscriber station is in this case reduced only to the short period of switching from the first telecommunications network to the second telecommunications network. That is to say the relevant subscriber station is accessible virtually all of the time, without any interruption, and can itself always set up connections". Such cited language in the specification supports the phrase identified by the Examiner in the claims. Accordingly, the Applicant believes that he has particularly pointed out and distinctly claimed the subject matter which they regard as the invention. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 23 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Claim rejection 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

On page 2, the Examiner has rejected claims 23-29, 31-37, 39-40 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Emery et al (USPN: 5,758,281). The Examiner believes that independent claims 23 and 32 are anticipated by Emery. In response, the Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's characterization of Emery. As best understood, Emery makes it possible for a subscriber (mobile) to change his location without losing his services. However, Emery discloses and teaches that the subscriber in the Emery system remains bound to his own network. In other words, the home location register (HLR) remains the same. (See Emery col. 19, 11. 36-51 and col. 26, 11. 57-60).

In contrast, the present application describes a system that makes it possible for a subscriber to change his home network by changing the local exchange his subscriber line is connected to without losing services. As disclosed and claimed in the present application, a local exchange does not remain the same when the subscriber changes the home network. A method in which the subscriber station is disconnected from the first local exchange in connecting the subscriber station to the second local exchange, wherein the subscriber station is accessible virtually all of the time as required by independent claims 23 and 32, as amended, is not disclosed, taught or suggested by Emery, et al.

Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and allowance of independent claim 23, as amended, and dependent claims 24-31, and 40 which depend either directly or indirectly from independent claim 23, and allowance of independent claim 32, as amended, and dependent claims 33-39 which depend either directly or indirectly from independent claim 32.

The Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 06-1447. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check or credit card payment form being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 06-1447.

If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, the Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 06-1447.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 05-03-06

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Customer Number: 26371

Telephone: (414)

(414) 297-5776

Facsimile:

(414) 297-4900

Ву

James A. Wilke

Attorney for the Applicant

Registration No. 34,279